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Q1

Contact information

Name
Frank Chauvin

Email
frank4dccomeback@gmail.com

Fundraising link, if applicable (if not, please write "N/A")
N/A

Q2

Select the Advisory Neighborhood Commission in which you are running:

1B

Q3

Select the SMD in which you are running:

02

Q4

Please upload a headshot that GGWash has your permission to use in its materials related to the 2024 election and endorsements process in the District, which may include publication on our website, in emails, on social media, or in other formats.

Frank-2024-08_14.jpeg

Q5

Where in your Advisory Neighborhood Commission, not just your SMD, do you think density should be increased to accommodate the construction of new housing? If you do not think density should be increased in your ANC, please write, "I do not think density should be increased in my ANC."

My SMD includes the east end of the U Street/North Shaw neighborhood and is transit rich with two green line stations. There are several blocks with lots abutting U Street, NW, in the 900 to 1100 blocks as well as Vermont Avenue (North of U Street, in the 2000 block) which could be reviewed for upzoning potential. Also, there may be opportunity and support for upzoning in the 1900 block of 9th Street.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Q6       | I consider affordable housing to be (check all that, in your opinion, apply):  
|          | Means-tested or income-restricted,  
|          | Built by the government,  
|          | Subsidized,  
|          | Rent-controlled,  
|          | Costing no more than 30 percent of one’s household income |
| Q7       | I consider market-rate housing to be (check all that, in your opinion, apply):  
|          | Not means-tested or income-restricted,  
|          | Built by private developers,  
|          | Unsubsidized,  
|          | Not rent-controlled |
| Q8       | The District’s inclusionary zoning law “requires that eight to 10 percent of the residential floor area be set-aside for affordable units in most new residential development projects of 10 or more units.” The cost of doing so is paid by a project’s developer, and IZ units are income-restricted. Because the number of IZ units is tied to floor-area ratio, the larger a building is, the more IZ units will be built. Conversely, if the initial density proposed by a developer is reduced during the approvals process, rather than maintained or increased, fewer IZ units will be built. ANC commissioners are likely to hear from some constituents concerned by a project’s potential impact—real or assumed—on traffic, parking, views, and property values and rents, and whether it fits the character of the neighborhood. While it is not a guarantee that a development proposal including IZ units will come before your ANC, if one does, what would you do, given the likelihood of at least some pushback?  
|          | I would encourage developers to maximize the height and density of the project. |
Q9
Planned unit developments are projects in which developers are able to exceed the height and density allowed by the District’s zoning code up to the density allowed by its Future Land Use Map (typically a modest increase in scale) in exchange for a community benefits agreement. While it is not a guarantee that a PUD will be proposed in your ANC, if one is, the commission is likely to be the party negotiating that community benefits agreement with a developer. The following are examples of benefits that an ANC might push for in such an agreement. Please rank them in the order in which you would prioritize them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More income-restricted, subsidized units than are required by District law</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income-restricted, subsidized units restricted to lower income levels than initially proposed by the developer</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income-restricted, subsidized units with more than one bedroom</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks, landscaping, and/or public art</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Capital Bikeshare station</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvements or repairs to, or replacement of, streets and sidewalks</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct cash payments to local organizations, such as civic associations and ANCs</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct cash payments to local schools and youth programs</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q10
Check any of the below combinations of features that you would consider social housing.

- District-owned housing on District-owned land, built by a District agency and managed by a private property-management company
- District-owned housing on District-owned land, built by a private construction company and managed by a private property-management company

Q11
Should apartments be legal to build District-wide?

Yes

Q12
Which statement do you agree with most?

New housing should be built both along major corridors and throughout existing residential neighborhoods, in buildings of all sizes.

Q13
Which of these statements best describes your feelings about historic districts in the District?

Historic districts are important, but they are also problematic. We have the right number of historic districts and landmarks and shouldn’t be adding more.
Q14
The District is likely to begin a rewrite of its Comprehensive Plan, its foundational land-use document, in 2025. In a rewrite of the Comprehensive Plan, which of these three options would be your top priority?

Creating opportunities for new housing in my commission's area

Too many

Q16
Do you think there are not enough cars, enough cars, or too many cars in the District?

Enough cars

Yes

Q17
The Sustainable D.C. 2.0 plan includes a target of reducing commuter trips made by car to 25 percent. Do you agree that incentivizing residents and visitors to drive less should be an explicit policy goal of the District?

Q18
Incentives for people to drive less and nudges to make them drive safely can prevent drivers from killing other people, but research shows such “carrots” won’t be enough to curb the public health crisis of increasing traffic fatalities. Keeping people alive and safe on District roads requires policies that actively reshape our transportation systems and built environment to decrease single-occupancy vehicle trips, and to slow down drivers when they do make those trips. Please rank the following policies in the order that you would like to see the District government pursue them.

Implementing a road-pricing program 5
Increasing the cost to own a car in the District, including RPP and parking registration 4
Removing minimum parking requirements in new developments near transit 3
Implementing road diets on arterial streets 7
Making some streets, especially residential streets, car-free 6
Regional reciprocity for automated traffic enforcement 1
Building more housing and affordable housing in the District proximate to transit and job centers 2
Regularly removing travel lanes for bus lanes 9
Regularly removing parking lanes for bus lanes 10
Regularly removing travel lanes for bike lanes 11
Regularly removing parking lanes for bike lanes 8
Q19

The above question asks about systemic policies to reduce trips by car that the District’s executive and legislative branches would need to initiate. As a commissioner, your powers are only advisory, but the intensely local nature of the role means that you can direct your attention to shepherding improvements to the built environment in your neighborhood that, while smaller in scale than changing District law or instituting a new citywide program, are no less important to making all road users safer. Please rank the following initiatives in the order you would be most enthusiastic about your ANC considering a resolution concerning them.

The installation of more automated traffic enforcement cameras to ticket drivers for speeding or running red lights and stop signs 4

The removal of parking spaces as part of a project to widen sidewalks 9

The removal of parking spaces as part of a project to build a protected bike lane 7

The removal of parking spaces as part of a project to build a bus-priority lane 1

The removal of parking spaces near crosswalks to increase the visibility of pedestrians to drivers, referred to as “daylighting” 3

The removal of residential parking spaces to enable short-term parking for delivery drivers 6

The installation of speed bumps to slow down drivers 8

The installation of raised crosswalks with high-visibility signage to alert drivers to pedestrians 5

The temporary or permanent closure of certain streets to single-occupancy vehicles to create zones for pedestrians 2

Q20

On-street parking occurs in public space. This means that an on-street parking spot does not belong to a specific individual, and people park in different places at different times. What do you consider a reasonable rule of thumb for deciding if a neighborhood has enough street parking?

A resident is able to find an available public street parking space within two to three blocks, in any direction of their residence (about a five- to seven-minute walk), most of the time

Q21

The District’s goal to be carbon-free by 2050 requires most of the reduction of its transportation emissions to come from residents turning existing single-occupancy vehicle trips into transit, walking, and biking trips. Please describe at least one trip you currently take by car (even if you, yourself, are not driving) that you can commit to taking on foot, by bus, by train, via a mobility device, or by bike instead.

I own a car, but take most weekly trips by walking and metro use for personal needs and entertainment. I often state that there is no neighborhood in DC which supersedes the walking and public transit availability as North Shaw/east end of U Street.
Q22
What do you feel is the biggest issue in your neighborhood, and what is your position on it? And, given the limited scope of commissioners’ and commissions’ authority, what would you, most realistically, do about that issue if you are elected?

I feel the biggest issue in my neighborhood is, and my position on it is: Ensuring that the Mayor and the Council know its residents would like it to refocus their commitment to U Street with renewed dedication to public planning documents, zoning code and nightlife regulations to ensure a strengthened as well as balanced ecosystem for both residents and business.

If elected, I would: Continue to dedicate my focus on improving community assets (e.g. actions to support a dog park at 625 T Street, activating a community farmer’s market in 600 block of T Street) and renewed focus on zoning code (Arts Overlay) which is at a decade or two past due for a review to address structural shortcomings (including land use/economic development which is missing consequential 18-hour pedestrian traffic and associated features which increase public safety).

Q23
ANC commissioners represent about 2,000 constituents and, when sworn in, commit to upholding the District of Columbia's interests, not solely your commission's or single-member district's. With the understanding that you are not going hear from every single one of your constituents during your term, and that commissioners are sworn to act in the interest of the entire District, describe how you might make decisions as an elected representative.

Working collaboratively with city officials and other ANC commissioners can help in finding a balanced approach that minimizes conflicts and serves the best interests of both my SMD and the District as a whole.
Q24

Why do you think you are the right person to serve as an ANC commissioner for your SMD?

Over the past five years, I have volunteered on the ANC Alcohol Licensing Committee and have since expanded my service to include the Economic Development and Zoning/Preservation/Development Committees. In May, I was sworn to fill the vacancy as the ANC1B-02 SMD commissioner.

With my growing understanding of the Duke Plan (Office of Planning’s Small Area Plan) and the Mid-City Arts Overlay zoning, I am committed to fostering planned discussions with my ANC colleagues and government leaders that focus on balancing the residential and commercial needs within our community. Perhaps a “comeback plan” for U Street—similar to the current initiatives focused on Penn Quarter/Chinatown and Downtown commercial to residential conversion areas—could be introduced into our grassroots government lexicon.

I support action which help establish a BID/CID place management entity to address a range of deficiencies, such as insufficient trash collection and cleaning, the absence of ride-sharing drop-off and pick-up stations, and the need for enhanced public safety measures. Additionally, it is imperative to recalibrate city focus on leveraging 32 other By-Right land uses within the Arts Overlay—beyond the “eating/drinking” establishments, which are just one of the 33 prescribed uses—to boost 18-hour pedestrian traffic, thereby improving public safety.

In summary, I am dedicated to the review of and action on measures which support the quality of life and community enhancements for the approximately 15,000 North Shaw/U Street residents who are lucky to call this area home. This effort includes our Black-owned businesses and the preservation of the area’s cultural history, both of which will benefit from renewed attention to economic growth and its very related public safety initiative.